## In The Matter Of:

In RE: Nutley Board of Commissioners

Transcript of Proceedings October 6, 2020



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 T (973)992-7650 F (973)992-0666 www.rizmanrappaport.com reporters@rizmanrappaport.com

(Recording begins) 1 2 THE CLERK: We're ready. 3 MAYOR TUCCI: Everyone please stand for the 4 Pledge of Allegiance. 5 (Pledge of Allegiance) MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you. Sunshine notice, 6 7 please? 8 THE CLERK: Thank you, Mayor. Board of 9 Commissioners Public Meeting Tuesday, October 6th, 2020. The time is now 7:05. 10 11 Pursuant to the requirements of the Open 12 Public Meeting Act, Chapter 231, Public Law 1975, notice of this meeting was published in the 13 November 28th, 2019 issues of the Nutley Sun, the 14 15 Herald News, and the Star Ledger. In an effort to prevent further spread of the Covid-19 pandemic, the 16 public meeting for the remainder of the year 2020 have 17 18 been changed to Zoom webinar or teleconference meetings 19 until further notice. The public will be able to 20 listen to the Board of Commissioners meeting and 21 participate during the designated public comment portion of the meeting by utilizing access through a 22 23 URL link or dial-in number provided on the township 24 website. 25 Commissioner Kelly?

- one piece of correspondence. We did in fact receive a 1 letter from PB Nutclif's attorneys, Sills Cummis, in 2 reference to our Phase III redevelopment plan, and our 3 professionals will be responding accordingly. 4 Reports, 5 please? Commissioner Evans? COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor. 6 Ι 7
  - have the quarterly report for September, and as well for the -- the monthly report for September for the Revenue and Finance Department, Code Enforcement Office.
- MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you. And I have a Shade
  Tree Report for the month of September from the
  Department of Parks and Public Property. Bills,
  please?
- THE CLERK: Thank you, Mayor. Bill list for

  October 6th, 2020, by department totals. Public

  Affairs, \$83,210.98; Revenue and Finance,

  \$3,075,080.70; Public Safety, \$552,712.35; Public

  Works, \$58,555.77; Parks and Public Property,
- 20 \$1,382,909.75; Water Utility, \$115,199.04. Regular
  21 Payroll Total, \$720,820.10. Overtime Payroll,
- 22 \$40,781.80; Covid Overtime Payroll Total, \$7,893.31.
- Total Payroll, \$769,495.21, for a grand total of
- 24 \$6,037,163.80. I need a motion.

8

9

10

25 COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Move it.

1	THE CLERK: I need a second.
2	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
3	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
4	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
5	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
8	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
10	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
12	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
13	THE CLERK: And that's it for bills, Mayor.
14	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you so much. We will now
15	take public comment on agenda items only, please.
16	THE CLERK: All persons addressing the Board
17	of Commissioners regarding community concerns should
18	state their name and address for the record. Unless
19	further time is granted by the board, each person shall
20	limit their address to three minutes. Sal, do you have
21	anybody waiting? Yes.
22	MS. GONCHAR: Ready.
23	THE CLERK: Can you please state your name
24	and address for the record?
25	MS. GONCHAR: Meryl Gonchar, 5 Bennington

Court, East Brunswick, New Jersey, with Sills Cummis 1 and Gross, and on behalf of PB Nutclif Master LLC. 2 Since the mayor mentioned the letter, I just want to 3 assure that the letter is made part of the record on 4 Ordinance 3452, or that we will be able to move it into 5 evidence at that time. 6 7 MAYOR TUCCI: I can assure you the letter 8 will, in fact, be part of the record, Meryl. 9 MS. GONCHAR: Thank you. MAYOR TUCCI: 10 You're welcome. Anyone else at 11 this time? Okay. Please proceed. THE CLERK: We can't hear you. Can you 12 13 unmute yourself? I believe you're muted. 14 MAYOR TUCCI: 15 THE CLERK: Sir, you're muted. We can't hear 16 you. Okay. 17 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Is that better? 18 THE CLERK: Yeah. We just -- if you could just start with your name -- your name and --19 20 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Yes. 21 THE CLERK: -- address for the record. 22 MR. ZIMMERMANN: My apologies. My name is 23 Neal Zimmermann. I'm an attorney with the law firm of 24 Waters, McPherson, McNeill, in Secaucus. We represent

Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated. On September 11th of

25

this year, I forwarded a letter to the commissioners from Quest, in opposition to the ordinance approving the proposed redevelopment plan. Like Ms. Gonchar, I would ask that that letter be part of the record, and admitted to evidence when we get to the public hearing.

MAYOR TUCCI: I believe that letter has already become part of the record. If not, we will assure that it is.

MR. ZIMMERMANN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: One more, Mayor. Can you please state your name and address for the record?

Jaime Placek, 96 Princeton MR. PLACEK: Yes. Street, Clifton, New Jersey, appearing as special redevelopment counsel for the City of Clifton, speaking with respect to Ordinance 3452 on the redevelopment Just on behalf of the City of Clifton, we want Area 3. to express our concern and our strong opposition to the adoption of this ordinance. We think it's contrary to law, with proper notice not having been provided to the City of Clifton as required by 39:4-8. We believe it's contrary to Attorney General Formal Opinion Number 5, with respect to the stopping of thorough traffic crossing from Clifton to Nutley. We believe it's shortsighted, and not understanding that it is actually going to cause traffic (indiscernible) in Nutley,

because it's going to reroute and force traffic onto Bloomfield Avenue and Cathedral Avenue, Passaic Avenue, on the eastbound side. There -- the Clifton -- City of Clifton would much prefer that the Township of Nutley and their professionals meet with us and the redeveloper to try to address this problem. The redeveloper has always worked well with the City of Clifton. We determined what improvements were needed on Bloomfield Avenue for the Quest project, and without any opposition they accepted and have agreed to undertake all.

If Nutley has any concern about how Clifton is handling this matter, Clifton engages professionals, and through each step of the redevelopment process. We address all issues, including traffic. It appears to the City of Clifton that the proposed ordinance that Nutley intends to adopt tonight acts as if the entirety of this site is on full buildout. Certainly, the City of Clifton does not expect to grant every request the redeveloper plans as a full buildout, and we believe the same to be with the Township of Nutley.

Clifton has not appeared and objected at Nutley land use board hearings like Nutley has in Clifton. We've allowed Nutley to reoccupy their buildings, and continue to increase their rateables,

just as we had hoped the Township of Nutley would. But it appears that if this township is going to go forward with the adoption of this ordinance, Clifton may likely have no choice but to act in kind, close off Metro Boulevard to the Township of Nutley heading north, forcing the Township of Nutley residents to further impact Nutley streets to access Route 3, and take other similar actions, such as start appearing at land use boards in Nutley, and objecting to the impact and traffic impacts, because certainly any redevelopment that takes part on the Nutley side will certainly impact the streets of Clifton as well.

So our hope is at this time that the commissioners will delay and put off the adoption of this ordinance this evening, and sit down and talk with the City of Clifton's representatives, and the redeveloper's representatives, and see if we can continue to work together on this project as we go forward, rather than taking shortsighted action at this time. Thank you.

MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you for your comments.

Okay. At this time, we have a need for an executive session, so I will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Move it.

COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: For?

THE CLERK: Whereas, Section 8 of the Open Public Meeting Act, Chapter 231, Public Law 1975, permits the exclusion of the public from meeting in certain circumstances; and

Whereas, the public body is of the opinion that such circumstances exist; and

Whereas, the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, in the County of Essex, State of New Jersey, desires to proceed to closed executive session.

And now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley move into closed executive session to discuss --

MR. INGLESINO: (Indiscernible).

THE CLERK: So potential litigation on the ordinance that's going to be adopted on this evening, and potential litigation on the PRISM application. Be it further resolved that at the time when such discussion may be disclosed to the public shall be when and as such disclosure may be made without adversely affecting the Township of Nutley pending and/or anticipated legal, personnel, contractual matters, and other matters within the exceptions provided for by statute.

1	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
2	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
3	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
4	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
5	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
6	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
8	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
10	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: And the time is 7:16.
12	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you.
13	(Pause)
14	MAYOR TUCCI: We good? Okay. I apologize
15	for that extensive closed session, but it was in fact
16	necessary. So we are back on the record. I will now
17	entertain Board of Commissioner announcements.
18	Commissioner Evans?
19	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Nothing this evening.
20	MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioner Kelly?
21	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yes, I have just a
22	couple of announcements. The first is we had our flu
23	clinic last month, and it was wildly successful. We
24	gave out nearly 150 flu vaccines, so thank you for
25	everyone who came out, and thank you to my staff who

facilitated that. That was a huge success.

Also I have a resolution on for tonight for Breast Cancer Awareness Month, and I want to encourage everyone to go out and support the Nutley Thriving Survivors. Every month -- every month of October, they have a walk, but this time, because of Covid, they're doing a virtual walk. So if you want, go online and find out how you can participate in a virtual walk and support this great organization. Other than that, I don't have anything.

MAYOR TUCCI: Just to follow up on that, I'm sure everyone has noticed that we have lit up all of our public buildings in pink, all right, in recognition of Breast Cancer Awareness Month. Commissioner Scarpelli?

COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Nothing, Mayor.

MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioner Petracco.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Yes, Mayor, if I just might ask the Board of Commissioners for a moment of silence? Nutley lost a great man this past week, Jack Magnifico, and I just wanted to publicly apologize to Carl Thunell and his wife and the Magnifico family as well. I missed the wake, because I actually didn't realize it was going to be that quick with the virus going on and all that.

But I just wanted to say that Jack was a great Nutley resident. He came to many meetings that we all attended, and he was always, always a gentleman. A stellar community leader, and he's going to be very well missed, so if we could have a moment of silence, I would appreciate it.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner. I just have one brief announcement at this point. On Wednesday, the 21st of October, from 4 to six o'clock, Essex County will be once again sponsoring a Covid testing site at Reinheimer Field, and if anyone's interested, you can go on our website or the county Covid website and sign up to get that testing done.

16 All right. Thank you all.

Moving to ordinances, Commissioner Evans, would you like to start?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor. I have three ordinances for introduction this evening. They are our bond ordinances, and I'll tell -- we'll vote on them individually, but collectively, the first ordinance, 3455, is for the issuance of \$2 million in -- \$2,020,000 -- \$2,020,175 in bonds to cover various improvements to township buildings or parks and various

1	equipment requirements, including IT of the township.
2	The second ordinance is 3456, which is a
3	specific bond ordinance to appropriate \$400,000 to
4	for continued road improvements, and the last is
5	ordinance is 3457, which is for appropriating \$255,000
6	for the specifically for necessary improvements in
7	the Water Utility.
8	So as far as the first bond ordinance, Bond
9	Ordinance 3455, a bond ordinance appropriating
10	\$2,126,500 authorizing the issuance of \$2,020,175 in
11	bonds or notes of the township for various improvements
12	for purposes authorized to be undertaken by the
13	Township of Nutley in the County of Essex. I move that
14	this ordinance be passed to a second reading and
15	advertised in the Nutley Sun, together with the notice
16	required by law, and that further consideration of said
17	ordinance for final passage by the Board of
18	Commissioners be held at its second reading on
19	November 5th, 2020. So move.
20	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
24	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Bond Ordinance 3456, a
7	bond ordinance providing for the reconstruction of
8	various roadways and sidewalks in the Township of
9	Nutley in the County of Essex, New Jersey,
10	appropriating \$400,000 therefor, and authorizing the
11	issuance of \$380,000 in bonds or notes of the township
12	for financing part of the cost thereof.
13	I move that this ordinance be passed to a
14	second reading and advertised in the Nutley Sun,
15	together with the notice required by law, and that
16	further consideration of said ordinance for final
17	passage by the Board of Commissioners be held at its
18	second reading on November 5th, 2020. So move.
19	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
20	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
21	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
22	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
23	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
24	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
25	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

1	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
2	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
3	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
4	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: And finally, Bond
6	Ordinance 3457. Bond ordinance appropriating \$255,000
7	and authorizing the issuance of \$255,000 in bonds or
8	notes of the township for various Water Utility
9	improvements for the purposes authorized, to be
10	undertaken by the Township of Nutley in the County of
11	Essex.
12	I move that this ordinance be passed to a
13	second reading and advertised in the Nutley Sun,
14	together with the notice required by law, and that
15	further consideration of said ordinance for final
16	passage by the Board of Commissioners be held at its
17	second reading on November 5th, 2020. So move.
18	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
20	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
22	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
24	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?

1	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
3	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor.
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner. I
6	also have a bond ordinance for introduction this
7	evening. This is a bond ordinance providing for the
8	improvement of DeMuro Park, in and by the Township of
9	Nutley in the County of Essex, New Jersey,
10	appropriating \$731,160 therefor, and authorizing the
11	issuance of \$182,790 in bonds or notes to the township
12	for financing part of the cost thereof.
13	I move that this ordinance be passed to a
14	second reading, and advertised in the Nutley Sun,
15	together with the notice required by law, and that
16	further consideration of said ordinance for final
17	passage by the Board of Commissioners be held at its
18	second reading on November 5th, 2020. I move the
19	ordinance.
20	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
24	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. At this point in time,
6	I'd like to take a few things out of order. We have a
7	presentation this evening by Phil Abramson of Topology
8	and Lou Luglio, vice-president of Sam Schwartz, Inc.
9	So I'd like to turn to our attorney, Mr. Inglesino, for
10	a brief intro.
11	MR. INGLESINO: Good evening. John Inglesino
12	(indiscernible).
13	THE CLERK: Can you turn the microphone on?
14	Got it? Better? Now it's off. It's off. Yeah.
15	MR. INGLESINO: Okay. Once again, John
16	Inglesino, Law Firm of Inglesino, Webster, here as
17	special counsel for Nutley. What was the ordinance
18	number?
19	THE CLERK: I'm sorry?
20	MR. INGLESINO: The ordinance number?
21	THE CLERK: The ordinance number is 3452.
22	MR. INGLESINO: Okay. Ordinance 3452.
23	THE CLERK: Yes.
24	MR. INGLESINO: So just to put this ordinance
25	into some context, this would be a Phase 3

- redevelopment plan on the PRISM, the former

  Hoffman/LaRoche site. Just by way of very brief

  background, again, for context, the entirety of this

  site was declared as an area in need of redevelopment

  on a condemnation basis back on March 3rd of 2015.
- 6 Time flies.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- There are two redevelopment plans that are currently in place, one with respect to the medical school, which is Phase 1, also Phase 2-A, which affects Building 100, 200, Modern Meadow and the garage. So this would be Phase 3. This ordinance was introduced on August 18th.
- THE CLERK: Eighteenth.
- MR. INGLESINO: And at this time, there's
  going to be a brief presentation to the commissioners
  by their professionals before opening this up for
  public comment. And I'd first like to call Mr. Lou
  Luglio.
- 19 Lou?
- THE CLERK: (Indiscernible).
- 21 MR. INGLESINO: Calling Lou Luglio first. Is
- 22 he here?
- 23 MR. LUGLIO: Okay. I think I am here.
- MR. INGLESINO: Good evening, Lou. Lou, can
- 25 you hear us?

1 MR. LUGLIO: Yeah, I can hear you, and I could share my screen. 2 All right, Lou, before you do 3 MR. INGLESINO: that, it looks like you may have frozen. Can you hear 4 5 us? MR. LUGLIO: Maybe my video froze, but I can 6 still hear you. 7 8 MR. INGLESINO: Okay. All right. So --9 MR. LUGLIO: I might just shut my video off if it takes up too much bandwidth. 10 MR. INGLESINO: Oh, I can see you're moving 11 Lou, just very briefly, for the benefit of the 12 now. commissioners and the public, if you can just, you 13 know, introduce yourself, and, you know, just sort of 14 15 describe for folks a little bit about your professional and educational background, and just list any 16 professional licenses that you have. 17 18 MR. LUGLIO: Sure. My name is Louis Luglio. 19 It's L-U-G-L-I-O. I am a vice-president of Sam Schwartz Consulting. My background, I have a bachelor 20 21 of science in civil engineering from New Jersey Institute of Technology. Also a master's in 22

professional engineer in the state of New Jersey, New

I'm a licensed

I have

transportation planning from NJIT.

York, Pennsylvania, and a few other states.

23

24

25

- been practicing traffic and transportation planning for
- 2 the past 32 years, and I have served as an expert
- 3 witness for the State Department of Transportation, a
- 4 few counties within New Jersey, a number of boards,
- 5 municipalities, and also for applications.
- 6 MR. INGLESINO: Great. Thank you,
- 7 Mr. Luglio, and I know you have a short presentation to
- 8 make with regard to this ordinance, correct?
- 9 MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do.
- 10 MR. INGLESINO: Okay. So why don't you do
- 11 that.
- 12 MR. LUGLIO: Okay. So hopefully, you can see
- 13 that first opening slide.
- MR. INGLESINO: Yes.
- 15 MR. LUGLIO: Okay. Is there a delay? Ι'π
- 16 just going to stop my video for a couple of seconds,
- 17 just so that it might free up and not have much of a
- 18 delay. Okay. So the first slide here, basically, a
- 19 lot of this information comes from our traffic impact
- 20 study that we prepared towards the end of last year,
- 21 and it's dated January 13th, 2020.
- 22 And so I thought I just might go right into
- the conclusions, and then kind of work backwards on how
- 24 we developed these conclusions. And so the first one
- 25 is that the proposed former site should be studied as

one overall site for the purposes of estimating vehicle trips, and for potential impacts on the local roadway network, both in Clifton and in Nutley, and for the highway system at Route 3 as well.

The estimated new vehicle traffic would place a significant burden on the local Nutley roadway network, without significant mitigation and improvements. The inability of the roadway network to accommodate this level of projected increase in traffic will result in significant safety and operational issues. The level of development expected on the Nutley section is not in proportion with the level of traffic projected to travel through the local Nutley roadway network, basically, the more land development in Clifton, and higher level of traffic accessing the Nutley roadways.

The proposed development is anticipated, based on the traffic impact study that we prepared, and that's dated January 13th, will create significant traffic congestion, worsened traffic operations, and compromise the safety and efficiency of the local roadways and intersections. And when I talk about safety and efficiency, I'm specifically talking about the additional vehicles that would be on the roadway. Congestion in and of itself leads to more aggressive

driving behavior, limited driver sight distance, inadequate gaps in the traffic stream for vehicles to turn across, in and out of that traffic stream, reduced pedestrian sight distance, insufficient distance and time for pedestrians to cross, and ultimately, you're in a position where you're compromised in a shared roadway/bike type usage of that roadway.

So I'll start from kind of the beginning in saying that the previous site, as many of the board members, commissioners, do remember, this aerial on the left-hand side is from March of 1995. The image on the right basically is pretty current and just outlines the site, and the number of intersections and on-ramps and off-ramps that were studied as part of our study, and then collectively looking at the site as a total.

We went back and we looked at what the site generated at its peak, not based on traditional traffic count data, really, there's none that exist, but based on the industry standard of how we generate a number of anticipated vehicles that come to and from the site.

And so we did that. Basically in the morning peak hour, it's 2174 total, the P.M. peak hour, 2367. And so we'll kind of compare and contrast that in a second.

The second thing is that was 1995, we're basically in 2020 or 2019. And so that 25-year period

where we basically are looking at a growth in vehicle traffic along Route 3 that's really not destined for Clifton or Nutley, so that background traffic basically has grown from other land development along Route 3, from Montclair to Lyndhurst, right, and the entire corridor. That land development also occurs or occurred in Clifton and Nutley, both to the north and to the south, and so although the site had many vehicles traveling to and from the site, the growth in office, residential, institutional, and retail in that 25-year period along Route 3 really occupies a lot of that excess capacity that existed back when the site was fully operational.

On the right, this gives you an idea of the number of intersections and/or ramps that we looked at, mainly of the three interchanges along Route 3 and all of the intersections along Kingsland. And so the legend here is if it's blue, it's an intersection, first of all, it's an intersection movement that is failing, has a failing level of service, doesn't process the traffic efficiently or effectively. So if it's blue, it's the A.M. peak hour that it occurs. If it's red, it's the P.M. peak hour, and if it's black, it's both.

And so, mainly in the existing conditions, we

have it -- we have problems or significant problems at the interchange ramps themselves. The first thing is, on the left-hand side, we looked at what was being 3 reoccupied, in construction, or was pending application. That includes the proposed hotel, and the office, medical office development that's proposed on What we did is we the Clifton side of the site itself. looked at the full potential buildout of the site, understanding that the real test either from NJDOT standpoint or from the county or from any municipality, is not to look at the site in incremental pieces. It's really to look at it as an overall site, and to have some estimate with a tolerance of what the estimated number of vehicle trips would be in and out of the site.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then from that point, if you had the full then you could come back and look at buildout, individual pieces. But understanding what full the buildout is certainly the prudent method of is, understanding what improvements would be required, and on the flip side, what is the ceiling in terms of the number of vehicle trips that the site could really tolerate result of the roadways the as а and intersections providing access to that site.

We looked at the full buildout of the site

itself; if you look here in the bottom right-hand corner, we're looking at 2700 vehicles in the A.M. peak hour, and 3167 in the P.M. peak hour, which is significantly higher than what we had on that original slide of the Hoffman/LaRoche site when it was in full operation, again, based on the industry standards of coming up with the number of trips.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One other point that I wanted to really hone in on here was how vehicles would be getting to and from the site, assuming that vehicle access would be provided from Route 3, from Kingsland, also from Bloomfield Avenue. This percentage of how vehicles would enter the site was based on some historical data, some relevant car or transponder tracking information that we accumulated late last year, and some of the reports that have been prepared for the site itself. So this really takes into account vehicles that are coming in either direction on Route 3, vehicles on the Clifton side to the north, and vehicles that are traveling to the north on the Nutley side to gain access into the site. And so you can see that we have roughly 37 percent coming in from Route 3 in the eastbound direction, another 14 percent coming in through Bloomfield, and a combination of 49 percent that would be coming in through the two access points

off of Kingsland.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we looked at on the left-hand side, you can see here, it might be a little small, but the BAP

-- the coverage in terms of the land development or what we estimated the overall buildout to be is roughly 65 percent of that expected development is in Clifton, so 35 percent of that development then is in Nutley. But the proportion of traffic that is traveling to and from to get to the site is not in the same proportion, right, so we have, let's just say 35 percent of the development in Nutley, where 49 percent of it, 49 percent of the traffic, is traveling through Nutley.

This same type of analysis was prepared for the outbound, so that first slide was just inbound, and now you can see outbound obviously coming out of the site onto Route 3, you can only make a right turn out. The report also takes into account that some vehicles may be closer to the access point on Route 3, and therefore would leave on Route 3 and then have to take both (indiscernible) to get back in the westbound direction. But it's similar in terms of the percentages and where vehicles came from in the morning, or in the inbound direction and where they're going in the outbound direction. And this is about the same in terms of the estimated traffic. It is not

necessarily proportioned to the expected development on each section of the campus.

This slide on the left, so we looked at a 2025 build horizon, really to focus in on all of the development would be in and operational by that 2025 period. We also looked at, in that build condition, other no-build projects and growth in traffic along Route 3 as well. So on the left you could see the intersection or lane group movements with level service F. If you remember our existing table or graphic, I should say, had far less arrows that indicated intersection movements that were at failing levels of service. Same type of legend, blue, red, and then black for both A.M. and P.M. And then on the right, this is with improvements.

So there are certain improvements, some of which are closer to the site that the applicant had proposed, especially along Bloomfield Avenue. And then the other is as part of our traffic impact study we also provided, to the level that we could, recommended improvements, beyond which we still have intersections and movements that have failing levels of service.

Obviously, they're all along Kingsland, and then also the interchange with Route 3 and Bloomfield Avenue.

One of the last slides here then is, the end

question is, these end up being locations that significant roadway improvements would be required, based on this full estimated buildout scenario, but for the most part there's not a geometric solution that really would be able to be implemented without significant, you know, expansion of the roadway or the intersection, to provide such mitigation or improvement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I won't read these again, but again, the conclusion, based on the report, and based on looking at all of the data, and again, looking at the site as a whole, or looking at the full buildout of the site, which is the most prudent way to look at this, and understanding that if you were to look at this in pieces, at some point you can't put those pieces back together again, so to speak, right? So if we know that the ultimate site full buildout requires a certain level of improvements, and you go about it one site at a time on the property, at some point you may not realize that there are improvements that are going to be needed, and there will never occur, because you looked at it on a segmented basis, and not overall as one project.

And so again, I guess the last line or the highlighted line that summarizes the conclusion, is

that the inability of the roadway network along 1 Kingsland, specifically, to accommodate this level of 2 3 projected increase, will certainly result in significant safety and operational issues. Thank you 4 5 very much. MR. INGLESINO: Mr. Luglio, just one question 6 7 for you. You referenced a traffic study and a full 8 buildout. What was that traffic study and full 9 buildout based upon? MR. LUGLIO: So that -- all of that 10 11 information in the traffic impact study was based upon the vision plan of ON3 that was provided on their 12 So basically half of it is based on the 13 website. existing buildings and reoccupation, and what is in an 14 15 application form right now, and then the other half was based on their vision that they provided, with rough 16 ideas in terms of the square footage of the different 17 18 types of land uses. 19 MR. INGLESINO: And that was the best 20 available information that you had, correct? 21 MR. LUGITO: Yes. 22 MR. INGLESINO: Great. Thank you, Mr. Luglio 23 for that (indiscernible). Does anybody got any

I'm sure we can entertain him

24

25

questions for Mr. Luglio?

MAYOR TUCCI:

- 1 at this point. Oh, do we have any questions. No, I
- 2 think his conclusion and the basis of his report was
- 3 very succinct and very accurate. I don't have any
- 4 questions. Commissioners, any questions for
- 5 Mr. Luglio?
- 6 COMMISSIONER EVANS: I'm fine.
- 7 MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioner Kelly?
- 8 Commissioner Scarpelli? Petracco? No? We're good,
- 9 John.
- 10 MR. INGLESINO: I'd like to bring up
- 11 Mr. Abramson if I can. Mr. Abramson, if you could just
- 12 for the benefit of the commissioners and the public,
- 13 provide your professional background, and any licenses
- 14 that you have.
- 15 MR. ABRAMSON: Fair. My name is Philip
- 16 Abramson, I'm the founder of Planning from Topology.
- 17 We're based on -- at 60 Union Street in Newark, New
- 18 Jersey, and I'm a three time graduate of Rutgers
- 19 University. My undergraduate was in criminal justice,
- 20 I thought I wanted to be a lawyer. But since then,
- 21 I've got a masters in city and regional planning from
- 22 the Bloustein School, and I did actually get a J.D.
- 23 from Rutgers School of Law in Newark. That's my
- 24 education.
- 25 Licensure, I'm licensed as an attorney, but

1 I'm not here providing legal advice or legal opinions.

2 I'm a licensed professional planner in the state, and

3 I'm also nationally certified by the American Institute

4 of Certified Planners, which is a national

5 accreditation.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So -- oh -- sorry, this is all new. New sorts of formats here, so we're just going to pull up this presentation here, great. All right, so if we could go to the next slide please.

So we're kind of just going to start out -usually we do the master plan stuff at the end, to prove that we're consistent with it, but here, the master plan is really like the origin, right, because this was done at a really important time for this piece of property, right, as -- even if you read, Roche was still sort of in the picture at the time the master plan was written, and Nutley was sort of in that master plan, speaking about its philosophy and its ethos and its objectives for the municipality at that time, so I just thought some of these things were really interesting. And what we have found, and the reason for this plan, is this plan is really at this point the only way to make all of these principles coexist and to make all of these objectives coexist.

So the first thing is this site is Nutley's

economic engine. It is the future of Nutley's economic development. "Nutley should continue its close working relationship with Roche and any future owner to retain this tract as a primary economic development area." So we need to keep the ability for Nutley to see value and to see activity and development out of this property.

"Redevelopment needs to balance negative impacts," even at that time. "Given the size and location of the property, Nutley should consider general principles of development that will allow for use consistent with Nutley's not only economic, but quality of life needs." And that "the decision of Roche to close its campus requires both communities," meaning Nutley and Clifton, "to find appropriate use and development for the needs of their communities." And then, even then, the traffic was seen as one of those negative impacts.

"In addition, any increased development may necessitate an evaluation of existing and needed signalization and traffic control" measures. So these were the things, at this point, that were still out there. And we can go to the next slide. And as we -- and that really is like the basis of this, the policy basis. When we looked at, and the township retained Sam Schwartz Engineering -- I'm sorry I turned my back

on -- not all of you, but -- and we -- they -- as you 1 just heard from Lou Luglio, that the Sam Schwartz study 2 showed detrimental impacts on surrounding roadways, 3 that the "current road capacity is insufficient to 4 5 accommodate the extent of development envisioned by the property owner, " and "if developed to quote, unquote, 6 'full buildout' put forward as the full vision of 7 8 property owner, the "impacts on Nutley's roadways 9 result in failing intersections and unsafe conditions, even with the identified potential 10 mitigation 11 strategies." This is just what you heard from the traffic 12 "the internal roads that traverse the 13 engineer: municipal boundary create a risk for Nutley," that 14 15 there's a "detrimental impact on health, safety, welfare, stemming from deteriorating traffic conditions 16 caused by land use decisions that are made outside of 17 18 Nutley's control, and that the "roadway capacity in Nutley will be absorbed, potentially, by development 19 that's in Clifton." So the trips originating in Clifton 20 21 will absorb the development capacity. So again, trying to harmonize all those principles that we talked about 22 23 in that first slide, but it needs to be the economic 24 You need to mitigate against these negative engine. 25 traffic impacts. How do you make all these things work

together? And that's really what this plan attempts to do.

So -- and again, like, looking at the bigger, statewide -- like, where does Nutley fit into this whole picture, and why does -- why is this plan appropriate under the statutes that govern us as municipalities, right? So "local control over land development and its impacts is established through broad statutory powers, and is recognized, has long been recognized, to be necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare" of communities.

So the New Jersey Municipal Land Use Law, this is like the commandments of planning boards and zoning boards, the people who make decisions for your community and every community in the state about what development should go where, and in there, there's the purposes of that statute, and if you ever sat through a planning board meeting or a zoning board meeting, you hear planners get up there like, purpose A, purpose B. But they're really like the commandments of land use planning in the state.

So Purpose A is "to encourage municipal action, to guide land development that serves the health, safety, and welfare." It's a broad term. It broadly empowers municipalities to determine what that

means, health, safety, and welfare, and to enact legislation, local legislation ordinances, that will advance those things.

Purpose D. These are all right on point,

"to ensure development of individual municipalities,"

to ensure that the development within individual

municipalities "does not conflict with development and

general welfare of neighboring municipalities."

So how do you harmonize, and in this case, because there are these tentacles of this site, these roadways that traverse this municipal boundary, makes it impossible for you to regulate the kind of -- the impacts that are coming off this property without a redevelopment plan like this. And this plan then does avoid the types of conflict that the MLUL contemplated as one of its purposes, okay?

And then Purpose H. "To encourage transportation routes which will promote the free flow of traffic while discouraging congestion or blight."

And so the free -- the transportation referred to meaning public transport -- public roads, public transportation routes, and by maintaining and controlling the type of access and the type of traffic that's generated from this site will make -- will give you the ability to control what happens on Kingsland

and the other local roads that it feeds off, to and from.

New Jersey Municipal Land Use Law. And what's interesting here as another wrinkle is that this is not just a piece of zoning we're talking about here. This is a redevelopment area, it's a condemnation redevelopment area, and so it's regulated by a different statute, so this campus was designated in 2018?

MR. INGLESINO: Pardon? 2015.

MR. ABRAMSON: 2015, I apologize. So it was designated as a redevelopment area, and the local redevelopment housing law was created to give municipalities broad control over the future of

redevelopment areas, and so you have that here.

16 "Redevelopment is [considered] a public purpose under the
17 New Jersey State Constitution, Article 8, Section 3."

So how and why do we do -- we know why now, right? How and why does this plan do this? Or how does it do it? So really in three different ways. One is we create a district, and it's really just limited to the roadways. So we created regulations that are only impacting the roadways on the campus. The second thing is we created uses. You have to have permitted

uses in districts, so we created access road, and boulevard, and I'll get into what that means in a few minutes. And then, really, the heart of the plan is the access restrictions. And it creates -- it prohibits uncontrolled vehicular access and circulation out within the plan area, and it accommodates at the same time, tenants of the buildings in the Nutley portion of the redevelopment area, to make sure that their access is protected.

So, the first thing I talked about was the geography, and hopefully here you can see it, in the green. This is the area that will be regulated under this redevelopment plan. Metro Boulevard, Ideation Way, Medicine Lane, which is the road that connects -- that ultimately will connect Metro and Ideation. So these are the only properties that are affected by them.

And then these two uses. And really, the definitions are essentially the same, it's just that the design standards are a little different. Metro Boulevard is a boulevard, as you might guess. It's wider, it has, you know, bicycle lanes and things like that that the access roads do not have. But what's -- what the important things are is that they, in the definition, they serve uses that are wholly or

partially located in Nutley alone, and that they service the uses that are approved within the Phase 1 or Phase 2A redevelopment plans, and that they comply with the access restrictions and design standards contained in the plan. So that's the things that are allowed, and that's how we -- the mechanics of this, is using that definition to create a thing called an access road, and this is what that thing is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then the access restrictions. So really, what's the meat of this, and how does it cut? vehicles allowed to enter the plan area, that green area, shall be occupied or operated by tenants or visitors of buildings in the Nutley portion of the redevelopment area. Access shall be maintained for existing buildings located within Nutley, consistent with existing agreements, leases, approvals, and that the improvements restricting access, we gave flexibility here in how it should be implemented by the That could be done through fences, property owner. gates, gatehouses, swing-arm RFID technology, ballards, things like that. They can decide how they want to comply with this redevelopment plan.

So there were four points that we found in our review of the campus, and this was done in collaboration with Lou Luglio, our traffic engineer, to

think about the different like risk points, and what -where were these access controls most necessary. And
these are the four points. So Kingsland and Metro
Boulevard, Kingsland and Ideation Way, Ideation Way and
the municipal boundary, and Metro and the municipal
boundary. Not that complicated, right? And these are
the points where this access needs to be restricted to
and from Nutley, basically.

So this is really the conclusion side. It is my opinion in preparing this plan, and having been through this now with you for two to three years, I can't remember exactly how long it's been, but we've been on a journey with this project, and with this campus, and here we are. This plan will minimize the safety hazards, traffic congestion, and other detrimental impacts that would be imposed upon this community if you do not adopt a plan like this, or if you do not take action to control the amount of development and the amount of traffic.

It will secure local control over impacts on roadways within Nutley and leading from the campus. It'll coordinate campus development with the available traffic capacity, meaning we're not going to end up with like way more development than the roads can handle. And that it continues to protect the rights

- and access arrangements for tenants located wholly or 1 partially within Nutley, so we're not going to end up 2 3 in a situation where we inadvertently violate somebody's lease. 4 5 So that's really the presentation, right? And I'm happy to answer any questions you or 6 7 Mr. Inglesino have. 8 MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioners, any questions? 9 COMMISSIONER EVANS: Nope. 10 MAYOR TUCCI: No, we're good? Okay, thank 11 you.
- MR. INGLESINO: Mayor, back to you if you want to open the public hearing on this ordinance.
- MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. We will now open the

  public hearing on Ordinance 3452. Does anyone wish to

  be heard?
- 17 THE CLERK: There are two people.
- 18 MAYOR TUCCI: Okay.
- THE CLERK: Hi, you're on mute. Just state
  your name and address, please.
- 21 MAYOR TUCCI: Please proceed.
- UNIDENTIFIED: I'm the court reporter. I'm not participating.
- MR. MOORE: Rory Moore, 462 Chestnut Street.
- 25 Mr. Tucci, the way it's written, to the average person

this is a little confusing. If I understood the two 1 reports that were just given, the solution appears to 2 be restrictions to the roadway on ON3. Do I understand 3 4 that correctly? That is correct. 5 MAYOR TUCCI: MR. MOORE: Because what I -- when I started 6 7 looking through here, and googling some of these lots 8 and plots, what happens to the other side, with the 9 railroad tracks, over towards the park and the old railroad building, the Orechio side of it? 10 take into account for that, because it doesn't appear 11 to be you accounted for that. 12 You said the future 13 growth was through 2025. What about the other side? Is that calculated to there? 14 15 MAYOR TUCCI: Hold on, Mr. Moore. MR. MOORE: 16 Okay, no, take your time. MAYOR TUCCI: Mr. Abramson on that? 17 18 MR. ABRAMSON: Sorry, you might not be able 19 to see me, but I am here. So you're talking about the -- south of Kingsland, like near the brook? 20 21 MR. MOORE: Just over that way. Yes.

Yeah.

boundary there, so it doesn't affect that whatsoever,

so Nutley is free to do with that, you know, whatever

So there's no municipal

MR. ABRAMSON:

is in their judgment.

22

23

24

25

MR. MOORE: That I get. But whatever Nutley decides to do is going to have some type of an impact, as far as traffic is concerned. I'll give you an example, maybe to better clarify. We heard last year they were talking about putting a circle in on Bloomfield and Kingsland. Now, we don't know what's going on, a lot of the citizens do not know the -what's going on with that at this point. To me, with this traffic study, I think you would have addressed what we will do to improve, because you kept saying the intersections were failing. But how are you going to improve them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ABRAMSON: So, sir, the one thing I can tell you is that the study by our traffic engineer did contemplate the buildout of those properties.

MR. MOORE: What were we going to do?

Because I heard you say four or five intersections were failing as this -- as the redevelopment took place, and my question is, what were the conclusions -- how are we going to improve those intersections?

MR. ABRAMSON: And I think -- and just one thing I want to make sure we're all operating under the same understanding, is that that -- those failed intersections were the projection that if this sort of measure was not taken by the commissioners, that would

1 be the consequence, the failure of those intersections.

2 So this will help to avoid that. I don't think the

3 purpose of tonight really was to go through an entire

buildout of the entire, you know, redevelopment area.

5 We -- there is no ordinance being proposed right now

6 for that south of Kingsland area, and at such a time

that it is, or when development does come forward, I'm

8 sure the commissioners will want that to be --

MR. INGLESINO: Sir, I think your question is beyond the -- is one that goes beyond the scope of what the presentation was tonight.

MR. MOORE: It is possible, maybe I am going a little bit too far, but when you -- your traffic study says you're projecting out to 2025. I'm sure between now and then, the other side, our municipal side, there's going to be some kind of a development. I don't know what it is, it's a future -- it's not sited yet.

However, are we going to have to do another traffic study? Are we going to have to come up with similar conclusions that the intersections are failing, and we said that already in this ordinance, and we're going to say it again in the next one? Because I don't see any solutions to mitigating or improving the intersections as they are right now, and if I

understand it correctly, you're saying if we put this 1 plan into effect, which is on the ON3 side with the 2 roads, the problem goes away. We don't have to worry 3 about this anymore. It -- I'm -- is my understanding 4 5 correct on that? MR. INGELSINO: I don't think that's exactly 6 7 correct. I think the point of the traffic study that 8 Mr. Luglio presented was basically to provide --9 MR. MOORE: Could you speak a little -- I'm having a hard time hearing you. 10 I --11 MR. INGLESINO: I'm sorry. Is that better? MR. MOORE: -- apologize, I apologize, I'm 12 13 sorry. MR. INGLESINO: I'm sorry, I'll hold the 14 15 microphone a little bit --MR. MOORE: 16 That's all right. Mr. Luglio's conclusion, I 17 MR. INGLESINO: 18 think, and I don't want to speak for him, but what I 19 heard him say was that the existing road network could 20 not be improved in a manner that would accommodate the 21 proposed full buildout of the sites, both in Nutley and 22 Clifton by the developer as shown on the developer's 23 website. 24 MR. MOORE: Okay.

This presentation tonight did

MR. INGLESINO:

25

not address the question of whether there were other 1 types of improvements, over and above the existing 2 roadway network. That wasn't part of that 3 presentation. So I come back to I think that this 4 presentation really did not address and was not 5 intended to address your question, as good of a 6 7 question as it is. It was simply designed to analyze whether or not the existing road networks could be 8 9 improved to accommodate what the developer has proposed on his website to be full buildout, and Mr. Luglio's 10 11 conclusion was that they cannot be. 12 MR. MOORE: Okay, thank you. 13 MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Mr. Moore. THE CLERK: Is there anyone else that would 14 15 like to speak? Please raise your hand. There's no one 16 else.

17 MAYOR TUCCI: No one else?

18 THE CLERK: No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. So before we close this public hearing, there are some comments that I'd like to make. There was an article that was published yesterday in the NJ -- NorthJersey.com, concerning this ordinance, and it was updated today at about 1:30 this afternoon, and it has a very catchy line, and the headline is, "Why Nutley Wants To Block Traffic To

Clifton's Side of Roche Campus. Hint: It Began In 2 2001."

Not exactly true, all right? In 2001, and for those of you who follow our meetings, you will have heard me say, time and time again, that with the building of the Clifton Commons Shopping Center, Clifton received all of the benefits of that development, and Nutley received all of the traffic. That is absolutely true, and that's something that we don't need any longer. And that is true, we don't need any more congested streets, because our job here, all right, as commissioners, is to protect the health, safety, and welfare of not only our residents, but of our neighborhoods, all right?

The article also goes on to say that Nutley is trying to sever the project in two. That's also not true, all right. What Nutley is doing this evening is predicated on the advice of our professionals, all right, and as you have heard this evening, who are very well educated in their respective areas, and because of a lack of information as to what development will look like on that Clifton side, all right.

There was also a comment by some folks in Clifton that we were taking the worst case scenario. Well, my experience has always been that when you're

planning for something, you never take the best case scenario. You take the worst case scenario and plan for that, and if it turns out to be better than that, well, then you're ahead of the game. All right.

The developer also made a comment that this is like a husband and wife getting a divorce and the husband chainsaws the house in half so no one gets it. That's a very dramatic statement, and an overexaggeration. If anything, what we're seeking, and what we've been seeking for quite some time now, all right, is information, information that would allow us to plan as to what would occur, and what the impacts would be on Nutley once the Clifton side is in fact developed, okay?

It also goes on to say that some cars will not be allowed to cross the dividing line between the two towns and the two counties. That's correct, all right, but this ordinance is predicated on the fact that we're looking to manage the traffic, and we're looking to manage the unfettered access, all right, to our residential neighborhoods, again, to protect the health, safety, and welfare of our residents and our community, all right.

That's our only intention here, all right.

We're not objecting to development. We -- as a matter

of fact, if it wasn't for the work that this board, all right, has done since 2014, '15, all right, ON3 would not even be there today, all right, so we are prodevelopment. But we need to have reasonable development. We need to have development that doesn't negatively impact our people, and have an adverse affect on their lives, all right.

And it's true, most of the traffic will come from Route 3, all right, and that traffic will come right from Route 3 through the ON3 site onto Kingsland Street and Bloomfield Avenue, and it will absolutely negatively impact our residents, all right, and our neighborhoods.

So I -- those are just a few points that I wanted to clarify before this evening was over, and give folks a good idea as to why we're taking the action that we're taking. And we don't do these things lightly or on a whim. Everything is predicated on facts, all right, and on information, all right, that we're given by our professionals. Commissioners, anyone want to add anything to that? Commissioner Scarpelli.

COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Yes, thank you,

Mayor. First, I'd like to congratulate our experts on
a thorough presentation based on data, it was based on

data. And, you know, our past experience, and knowledge of our local roadways, coupled with our experts' presentation tonight on the negative effect on the health, safety, and welfare of our citizens, I think we must adopt this plan, Mayor. Must adopt it.

I couldn't agree more,

MAYOR TUCCI:

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I do, Mayor. First of all, I have to say I think your comments were spot on, as well as Joe's over here as well. My title is the Public Safety, again, safety being the key word in there, Director, and as we all take our positions very serious, I do, too, and it's based on all our professionals, who I have the utmost respect for, by the way, and I think they have done a lot of good, hard

work, and gone the extra distance for all of us.

I don't think that we can take this lightly, and I'm very concerned about the safety of our residents, and the traffic flows into our neighborhoods and how it would affect us, but one thing I would like to say is that, you know, in a time that everybody is so divided, I think the high road would be to get together with our mayor or our board instead of going to the newspapers right away and having this kind of tug of war, because it's about bringing neighborhoods

together and people together and, you know, having something left behind us, Mayor, when we're all gone, that Nutley, Clifton, and the residents, can be proud of the work that we've accomplished. So, you know, truthfully, the article is a little offensive, and I would just like to extend a welcome to -- for all of us, because we are very pro-development, and that Roche site has impacted Nutley for the last hundred years, and I think the work that has been done so far is amazing, in the short period of time that this has been going on. So, again, safety is a big issue, and I agree with everyone here that it must be adopted.

MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you. Well said, Commissioner. Commissioner Evans?

commissioner evans: Thank you, Mayor. I won't go into details, as you already have, and I will -- I would echo those comments as well. I think it's important that as a board, that we have to ask questions that raise concerns that affect the safety and welfare of our community. And to that end, we hired experts, people who are highly experienced, highly skilled, and heavily credentialed, to do an investigation on our behalf, and to address these questions. The conclusions are very clear in that it is imperative that we as a board act to make sure that

we in -- are doing everything we can to protect the safety of our community. And with that, I have no reservations regarding the voting on this ordinance tonight, because we have to keep the best interests of our community in the forefront. Thank you.

MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Kelly?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KELLY: Thank you, Mayor. I too have some comments on this. First I want to thank the experts and professionals for the presentation. very informative. And a lot of what was said just bolsters my own experience. I do want to see Hoffmann-LaRoche be an economic driver, and continue to be an economic driver for this town. However, I don't want to see that at the expense of the safety and welfare of our citizens. I think it's important that we be on the lookout, not only to improve the economic standing of our town with ratables and everything, but also ensure that we do it in a safe manner for our citizens.

A couple concerning things for me in the presentation regarded the increase of the traffic on the roadways, and potential for an increase in traffic on the residential areas nearby Kingsland Street, primarily because there's a school right there, an

elementary school, Spring Garden School is just a few blocks away. And during the -- especially the morning and evening, when the traffic would be at its greatest, you're going to have kids coming to and from school. Probably not now during Covid, but in the future, hopefully everything gets back to some normalcy, and you'll see students coming to and from school, and I don't want to see that increased traffic in those residential neighborhoods potentially creating a situation where we could have a dangerous situation for those students commuting to school.

And also now we've added a school in the terms of the medical school on the campus, and in terms of colleges, at least with my personal experience, college and graduate students tend to be more mobile. They tend to want to walk more, they tend to want to bike more, and increasing that roadway traffic in our town would be detrimental to us.

Also, as I said earlier, the expertise kind of indicates what you would expect as someone who has lived here for -- for me, for 35 years now, in terms of my personal experience and what you expect in terms of common sense. For those of you who don't know, my father's medical practice is at the top of Kingsland Avenue, right where West Passaic Avenue meets Kingsland

Street, and since I was a little boy, I would go there
quite often with my mother, or with my sisters, and
would take Kingsland Street up to West Passaic Ave.
from my parents' house by Kingsland Park.

And I can remember very vividly that it

was -- well, what should have been a five-minute drive

turned into a 15, 20-minute drive because of the

traffic, and this was when Hoffmann-LaRoche was at its

peak. That traffic, I don't want to see come back,

because it will adversely impact our residents. So

speaking from personal experience, I know that the -
everything that's contained in those expert reports, it

just bolsters my own personal experience that the -
what they're saying will absolutely come true if we do

a full build-out as indicated in the reports. So that

is my take on all of this, and why I will be voting in

favor of this ordinance.

MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner. And I would be remiss if I didn't thank our professionals.

Mr. Abramson, Mr. Luglio, and of course, the quarterback of our team, Mr. John Inglesino. You guys have done a fantastic job in all facets of this redevelopment, and we look forward to continue working with you. Madam Clerk, I'll entertain a motion to close the public hearing.

		55
1	THE CLERK: I need a motion.	
2	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Move it.	
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.	
4	THE CLERK: Thank you. Commissioner Kelly.	
5	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.	
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?	
7	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.	
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.	
9	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.	
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?	
11	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.	
12	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?	
13	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.	
14	THE CLERK: Need to move the ordinance.	
15	MAYOR TUCCI: I'd like to move the	
16	ordinance.	
17	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Move it second.	
18	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.	
19	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.	
20	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?	
21	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.	
22	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.	
23	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.	
24	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?	
25	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.	

1 THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. Thank you very much. We have one more ordinance this evening for introduction.

I apologize to my colleague, Commissioner Petracco.

Ordinance Number 3459. Commissioner Petracco.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: No need to apologize, This is the -- an ambulance Mayor. Thank you, though. ordinance that we're introducing tonight, again. This is not to dissolve the Ambulance Squad, but I am here to report that it has been a long road, a long summer for all of us, but working together I think that there's been a lot of good work done, and I'd like to -- with that being said, I'd like to thank our president, Tim Keating, as well, of the Nutley Ambulance Squad and all their memberships, and I'm really looking forward to working together and moving this forward for today and the future.

So Ordinance Number 3459, an ordinance to amend an ordinance codified in the Township of Nutley Code Chapter 60, entitled First Aid Squad, being enacted by the Board of Commissioners, Township of Nutley, that Chapter 60, entitled First Aid Squad, be hereby amended in its entirety.

I move that this ordinance be passed to a second reading and advertised in the Nutley Sun,

1	together with the notice required by law, and that
2	further consideration of said ordinance for final
3	passage by the Board of Commissioners be held at its
4	second reading, I believe it's November 15th?
5	THE CLERK: November 5th.
6	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Oh, I'm sorry.
7	November 5th. I move it.
8	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
10	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
12	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye on the introduction.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
14	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
16	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
17	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
18	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Thank you, Mayor.
20	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you very much. We have
21	one more presentation this evening. I'd like to
22	welcome Mr. Charles Liebling of Windels Marx Lane and
23	Mittendorf, who will speak to us about an elevated
24	pedestrian sky bridge that will connect the new school
25	of medicine parking garage in Clifton to the existing

pedestrian sky bridge in Nutley, that currently 1 connects the school of medicine with Building 101, now 2 known as 111 Ideation Way. 3 MR. INGLESINO: Mr. Mayor, just a little bit 4 5 of context, again, for this presentation. The medical school, under the redevelopment agreement, is obligated 6 7 to come before the Board of Commissioners to make this 8 presentation and to demonstrate that it is consistent 9 with their redevelopment, the redevelopment plans affected by this and the redevelopment agreement. Mr. 10 Liebling is here tonight, he represents the medical 11 school. I know Mr. Abramson has also reviewed this and 12 can offer his opinion to the Board as well. But at this 13 time I think it would be appropriate to hear from Mr. 14 15 Liebling. MAYOR TUCCI: Welcome, Mr. Liebling. 16 17 (Pause). 18 MAYOR TUCCI: Please proceed. 19 MR. INGLESINO: Chuck, can you hear us? 20 THE CLERK: They can't hear you. 21 MR. INGLESINO: How's this, any better? 22 23 24 (Pause, audio issues) 25 MR. INGLESINO: Chuck, how is this? Any

better?

2 MR. LIEBLING: Yes, now I can hear you.

MR. INGLESINO: Okay. So again, just by way of some context here, Chuck, you're here tonight to present on behalf of the medical school, pursuant to your redevelopment agreement. You have a plan that you would like to present with regard to a sky bridge, and so you're here pursuant to your redevelopment agreement to show consistency with the affected redevelopment plans that the sky bridge will encompass. So the floor is yours to make that presentation, and then Mr. Abramson has also reviewed those plans, and he will offer his opinion, as well.

MR. LIEBLING: Great. Thanks, John. Good evening, Mayor, Commissioners, Madam Clerk.

Representing Kingsland Street Urban Renewal LLC, thank you for this opportunity to present our plan for the construction of this new elevated pedestrian bridge, connecting the existing sky bridge on the medical school campus to the new parking garage.

And as John stated, we're -- the purpose of this is to enable you to determine compliance with the applicable redevelopment plan, which needs to occur in order for us to be able to make our site plan application for the sky bridge to the planning board.

As a technical matter, the -- in order for 1 the site plan application to be heard, Kingsland's also 2 going to need to be designated as the redeveloper, and 3 John and I are working on that, and we'll bring that 4 5 back to you for your consideration at a future meeting. I don't want to take up any more time on this 6 7 than is necessary, so, if you don't have any questions for me, I'd like to introduce Charles Logan, the 8 9 project's architect, who can provide you with an overview of the sky bridge project. 10 11 MR. LOGAN: Yes. 12 MR. LIEBLING: Okay. Yeah, if you can just 13 state your company, and your licensing, and we know that you've appeared before Nutley before, but let's 14 15 just state --16 MR. LOGAN: Sure. 17 MR. LIEBLING: -- it for the record, yeah. 18 MR. LOGAN: Okay. My name is Charles Otis 19 Logan, Jr. I work with the Aztec Corporation, Aztec 20 I am the president/CEO. Architects, LLC. I am a 21 licensed architect in New Jersey, New York, and And I, as you stated, I've appeared 22 Pennsylvania. 23 before the commission before. 24 MR. LIEBLING: Okay. 25 MR. LOGAN: And I'm the principal in charge

1 of the project.

MR. LIEBLING: Okay. All right. With that, if you could just, you know, provide some of the general details for the benefit of the commissioners regarding the project, and that will also enable Mr. Abramson to render his opinion.

MR. LOGAN: Sure. The bridge is going to connect the new Hackensack Meridian Health School of Medicine garage to the existing bridge that connects 111 Ideation Way, and also known as Building 102, to the Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine building, which is also known as Building 123, and it's also the Seton Hall University.

The bridge will be complementary to the existing bridge. The point of access at the garage will be at the Level 3, and will actually connect halfway into the existing bridge. Am I to share the screen, or do they have the elements there?

MR. LIEBLING: Sal, are you able to put the exhibits up?

MR. LOGAN: I have exhibits, I can put them up, if that helps.

MR. LIEBLING: Okay, yeah. Or give the control to --

25 MR. LOGAN: Can everyone see this?

1 THE CLERK: Yes.

2 MR. LOGAN: Okay.

3 MR. LIEBLING: Okay.

MR. LOGAN: All right. This is the existing HMH Parking Garage, and this is the existing pedestrian bridge that connects Building 102 to the School of Medicine. The bridge that we're talking about will actually come out the southern side of the parking garage, and actually parallel Ideation Way over the pedestrian sidewalk, and connect the bridge somewhat halfway through.

The Township of Nutley is -- this is the portion of the bridge that is in your township. It is representative as 862 square feet, which is approximately 26 percent of the bridge itself. The bridge is actually a total of 3287. It's fully enclosed, ventilated, and it's an elevated pedestrian sky bridge, meant to allow pedestrian traffic throughout the year, 24 hours a day, for people to travel to and from the parking garage.

The bridge itself will be metal and glass, similar to the pedestrian bridge. It will have a shed style roof, again, similar to the pedestrian bridge.

All meant to be complementary and work closely with the overall scheme of the beauty of the campus itself.

And it will be -- I'm going to show you, one second. This is the bridge itself. It actually will have a splayed tubular steel, similar to the existing bridge. It will have intermittent windows on alternating sides of the building. So as you can see, there's a solid area, but on the opposite side, there's actually a glass area, so you have to look here where it's clear. The building -- the garage itself will actually have no graphics on it in the Nutley part of the site, and that -- as I said, it is just in line with the overall design of the building.

The idea of the shed is actually that it's on the -- as you'll see here, the existing bridge, it actually has a higher point here, and on the lower -- on the back side, being the eastern facing part of the bridge, it'll actually tie into the bridge that's coming from the School of Medicine. So this is the overall design, with a pedestrian walkway underneath.

Right here, approximately, is where the Nutley bridge takes part of the -- their portion of the bridge itself.

MR. LIEBLING: Thanks. Thanks. Are there any questions from the commissioners? Mr. Logan will certainly be happy to respond to them.

MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioners, any questions?

1 COMMISSIONER EVANS: It's straightforward.

MAYOR TUCCI: No questions from the

3 commissioners. Mr. Abramson?

MR. ABRAMSON: Commissioners, I'm sorry to sit with my back to you, even though I am advising you at this moment.

MAYOR TUCCI: No problem.

MR. ABRAMSON: Under the circumstances, it's not the worst thing that's happened, but, so I -- we did take a very close look at this, and we looked at it through the lens, and asked ourselves, is this consistent with the Phase 3 redevelopment plan which you just adopted? And our conclusion is yes.

And I -- we wrote something up, and I just -- I would like to kind of go through it with you right now, and put it on to the record.

So the Phase 3 redevelopment plan deals exclusively -- and the access restrictions in that Phase 3 plan deal exclusively with vehicular circulation and traffic impacts. The purpose statement was -- the purpose of this plan is to regulate the use, functionality, and design of internal roadways in the redevelopment area. More specifically, the plan requires creation of access controls between Nutley and Clifton that will allow Nutley to control the traffic,

vehicular traffic impacts on roadways within its community that will emanate from the redevelopment area.

So there are two permitted uses in that redevelopment area, we already just went through all this. Access roads and boulevards. So the access road -- or the -- Ideation Way is an access road. An access road is defined as a road that services uses wholly or partially -- I'm going to read this slowly because it's important.

It services uses that are located wholly or partially within Nutley. Check. This one does that, because 111 Ideation and the medical school are both located either wholly or partially within Nutley. An approved use is in the Phase 1 -- yes?

MR. INGLESINO: Closer to the mic.

MR. ABRAMSON: Okay. The Phase 1 or 2 -it's approved -- uses approved in the Phase 1 or Phase
2A redevelopment plans. Phase 1 was the medical
school. Phase 2A in part was Modern Meadow at
111 Ideation, that are designed in a manner consistent
with the standards in the Phase 3 plan, and adhere to
the access regulations included in the plan as well.

So the -- where this plan will -- this improvement will require some level of deviation from

the plan is with regard to those design standards. The -- when we wrote the Phase 3 redevelopment plan, we did not contemplate sky bridges, or raised sidewalks or things like that. We assumed regular sidewalks. But we do not see that as a fatal issue with regard to the compliance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we look at it from a use standpoint, sidewalks are intended to move people on their feet. The sky bridge is intended to move people on their This just happens to be a unique and different sidewalk that was not contemplated, and therefore the design standards are not, you know, regulating it an elevated sidewalk, but it is in the right of way of the roadway. It is, as Mr. Logan testified, it sits over the pedestrian sidewalk. It is merely a duplicative The planning board, at the time pedestrian sidewalk. of the site plan, if it sees fit to grant deviations from the design standards, it can do so. But I do not think that this offends any aspect of the redevelopment plan or the prohibitions on variances cognizable by the zoning board, meaning use variances. This would not go into a use variance. This does not offend the access restrictions, because it does not allow vehicular traffic, and that is the primary function of that Phase 3 plan was to implicate and prohibit cross-access

for vehicles.

I think that that is pretty much all I have to say on the matter. I don't know if anybody has any questions, or Mr. Liebling, have any clarifications to make.

MR. LIEBLING: I guess that because this pedestrian bridge also crosses within the Phase 2A redevelopment plan area, it probably would be useful if you could just express --

MR. ABRAMSON: Sure.

MR. LIEBLING: -- your recognizance of that.

MR. ABRAMSON: Yeah. Thank you for reminding me. What Mr. Liebling is getting at, and one of the things that we initially were a little perplexed by, is that this sky bridge traverses multiple parcels.

Without getting into too much technical information on the Municipal Land Use Law, principal -- there are principal uses, and there are accessory uses.

Accessory uses and accessory structures, under the Nutley ordinance, and under the definition of an accessory use or structure in the Nutley ordinance, serves the principal use upon which the lot is located.

So we end up here with somewhat of a novel question. We have a -- what really is an accessory use, a sky bridge connecting a parking lot to an office

building, but because it's on its own piece of property, there's no principal use that it serves. So on the Phase 3 and the roadway plan, we had to confront that, and we had to determine that this does comply with the definition of an access road, which is a permitted principal use. So that was -- the Phase 3 aspect was dealt with in that way, what I just described before Mr. Liebling raised that good point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With regard to 2A, there is 111 Ideation. That is the principal use. It is a permitted principal use as an office building. The portion of the sky bridge that will be on that piece of property is accessory to that principal use, and while, you know, frankly, on this piece of property, it is customary. Because there already -- there's already sky bridges, and in a lot of corporate office campuses, you'll see raised and enclosed walkways. So it is customary. Ιt isn't incidental. That is the standard under the Municipal Land Use Law, for what makes a accessory use, or an accessory structure, and I'm comfortable with this determination that it is an accessory that's under It is a permitted principal use the Phase 2A plan. under the Phase 3 plan, and all the kind of requirements, procedural requirements, that need to happen still need to happen with regard to a

designation of redevelopers and execution of 1 agreements, but from purely the zoning kind of 2 standpoint, I believe that, Commissioners, that you 3 should feel free to move ahead with this, and that the 4 5 plans do not prohibit this use. MR. LIEBLING: Thank you, Phil. 6 7 MR. ABRAMSON: Thank you. 8 MR. INGLESINO: Thank you, Mr. Abramson. 9 MR. ABRAMSON: Thank you. Mr. Liebling, anything you'd 10 MAYOR TUCCI: 11 like to add? 12 MR. LIEBLING: No, nothing more, unless the 13 commissioners have any questions. We'd, you know, appreciate your determination that this is consistent 14 15 with the redevelopment plans, and we can proceed with 16 the planning board. 17 Thank you very much. MAYOR TUCCI: 18 COMMISSIONER EVANS: Do we need a motion? 19 MR. INGLESINO: Yes. You should have a voice vote to -- just (indiscernible) that you agree there 20 21 should be a voice vote just concurring that the redevelopment plan has (indiscernible) proceed to the 22 He will 23 planning board. As Mr. Liebling alluded to. 24 be preparing the agreement, it's not going to be a

lengthy agreement, but it'll be an agreement to

25

1	designate them as the redeveloper, which we need to do,
2	and we'll have a you know, and it will serve as a
3	redevelopment agreement at this time, with the often
4	complicated (indiscernible) due to the circumstances,
5	during the course of this duration, in two weeks from
6	now, and then next meeting and then they will deal with
7	the procedures.
8	MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. Commissioner Evans?
9	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Mayor, based upon what
10	I've heard in terms of the testimony, as well as our
11	own planner, I have no objections to this. I think we
12	should move forward with it.
13	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you. Commissioner Kelly?
14	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yes, I agree with what
15	Commissioner Evans said. Based upon the expert
16	analysis, I think it's fine to move forward with it.
17	MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioner Scarpelli?
18	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Same thing, Mayor.
19	MAYOR TUCCI: Commissioner Petracco?
20	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I agree as well.
21	MAYOR TUCCI: And lastly, I also agree, based
22	upon the testimony from our professionals.
23	MR. INGLESINO: So I think just there should
24	be a motion and a second and a voice call roll.
25	THE CLERK: Okay.

1	MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. I'll entertain a motion
2	to approve the request as presented by Kingland Street
3	Urban Renewal LLC concerning the elevated pedestrian
4	sky bridge.
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Move it.
6	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
8	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
10	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
14	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
16	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
17	MR. LIEBLING: Thank you all very much.
18	MR. LOGAN: Thank you.
19	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, have a good evening.
20	MR. LOGAN: Stay safe.
21	MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. We'll now move into
22	resolutions. Commissioner Kelly?
23	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Thank you, Mayor. I
24	just have a couple of resolutions here tonight. The
25	first one, Resolution Number 214-20, it's just a

1	continuation of our usual shared services contract with
2	the Board of Ed, sharing the Town Physician services
3	with them. The only difference this year is the
4	compensation is increased to \$11,000. So I'll just
5	read the resolution.
6	Now therefore be it resolved that the
7	Township of Nutley and the Nutley Board of Education
8	wish to extend the existing shared services for a
9	physician/school physician services for the period of
10	September 1st, 2020, through August 31st, 2021, for
11	which the Township will be compensated \$11,000, to be
12	paid in ten equal installments of \$1,100. So moved.
13	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
14	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
15	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
16	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans.
17	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
18	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
19	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
20	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
21	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
22	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
23	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
24	COMMISSIONER KELLY: The next resolution I
25	have is Resolution Number 210-20. This is, as I

alluded to earlier, concerning Breast Cancer Awareness
Month.

Whereas, about 1 in 8 U.S. women, about 12.8 percent, will develop invasive breast cancer over the course of her lifetime;

Whereas, in 2020, an estimated 276,480 new cases of invasive breast cancer are expected to be diagnosed in women in the U.S., along with 48,530 new cases of non-invasive in situ breast cancer. Although rare, men get breast cancer, too. In 2020, an estimated 2,620 men will be diagnosed with breast cancer in the U.S., and approximately 520 will die;

whereas, about 42,170 women in the U.S. are expected to die in 2020 from breast cancer, though death rates have been decreasing since 1989. Breast cancer is the most common cancer in American women, except for skin cancers. It is estimated that in 2020, approximately 30 percent of all new women cancer diagnoses will be breast cancer;

Whereas, recent strides in early detection, including the use of mammography, and prompt treatment have significantly reduced the suffering and deaths caused by this disease;

Whereas, the Department of Public Affairs
will continue to work with Nutley Thriving Survivors, a

non-profit corporation to develop programs to increase awareness of the symptoms of breast cancer, and to support those women and men who may be diagnosed with the disease.

And now therefore be it resolved that the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, recognize October, 2020, as Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

Be it further resolved that we would urge all women and their families in the Township of Nutley to seek assistance in obtaining the facts and information about breast cancer, mammography, and available support groups for victims to participate in programs scheduled this year for residents of the township, and breast cancer survivors.

Be it further resolved that residents are encouraged to participate in the Nutley Thriving Survivors Virtual Walk throughout the month of October, as their Annual Candlelight Celebration Walk has been canceled this year due to Covid-19 health pandemic. So moved.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.

THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.

COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.

25 THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

	, 3
1	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
3	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
5	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
7	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: The Mayor just
8	stepped out for a moment, Madam Clerk
9	THE CLERK: Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: he just asked if we
11	can continue on with our resolutions.
12	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Madam Clerk?
13	THE CLERK: Sure.
14	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Madam Clerk, can you
15	mark me down as yes on Resolution 214-20?
16	THE CLERK: Absolutely.
17	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Moving along, Resolution
19	Number 225-20. This is for Hispanic Heritage Month.
20	Whereas, from September 15th, 2020, through
21	October 15th, 2020, the United States celebrates
22	Hispanic Heritage Month;
23	Whereas, the Bureau of the Census estimates
24	that the Hispanic population living in the 50 states at
25	more than 59 million people, plus an additional 3.3

million living in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico,
making Hispanic Americans 18 percent of the total
population of the United States, and the largest racial
or ethnic minority group in the United States;

Whereas, the Latino population in the United States is currently the third largest population of Latinos worldwide, exceeding the size of the population in every Latin American and Caribbean country except Mexico and Brazil;

Whereas, in 2018, the annual purchasing power of Hispanic Americans was estimated at \$1.7 trillion, which is an amount greater than the economy of all except 17 countries in the world;

Whereas, there are more than four point -4,370,000 Hispanic owned firms in the United States,
supporting millions of employees nationwide and
contributing to more than \$1.7 trillion in revenue to
the economy of the United States;

Whereas, Hispanic-owned businesses represent the fastest growing segment of small businesses in the United States, with Latino-owned businesses growing at more than 15 times the national rate;

Whereas, as of August, 2018, more than
28 million Latino workers represented 17 percent of the
total civilian labor force of the United States, and as

a result of Latinos experiencing the fastest population growth of all race and ethnicity groups in the United States, the rate of Latino participation in the labor force is expected to grow to 20 percent by 2024, accounting for one-fifth of the total labor force;

Whereas, with 66.1 percent of Latinos
participating in the labor force, Latinos have the
highest rate of participation in the labor force of any
racial or ethnic group, resulting in an expansion of
the Latino labor force at a rate that is three times as
fast as the rest of the population;

Whereas, as of 2017, there were approximately 326,800 Latino elementary and middle school teachers, 77,033 Latino chief executives of businesses, 54,576 Latino lawyers, 73,372 Latino physicians and surgeons, and 15,895 Latino psychologists contributing to the United States through their professions;

Whereas, Hispanics -- Hispanic Americans serve in all branches of the Armed Forces, and have fought bravely in every war in the history of the United States;

Whereas, in 2019, there are more than 200,000 Hispanic members of the Armed Forces serving on active duty, and approximately 1.2 million Hispanic veterans of the Armed Forces, including 136,000 Latinas;

Whereas, approximately 148,000 Hispanic soldiers served in the Korean War, including in the 65th Infantry Regiment of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, known as the Borinqueneers, the only active duty segregated Latino military unit in the history of the United States;

Whereas, Hispanic Americans are dedicated public servants, holding posts at the highest levels of the government of the United Seats, including one seated on the Supreme Court of the United States, four seats in the United States Senate, and 36 seats in the United States House of Representatives; and

Whereas, Hispanic Americans harbor deep commitment to family and community, an enduring work ethic, and a perseverance to succeed and contribute to society.

Now therefore be it resolved that the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, recognize the month of September 15th, 2020 through October 15th, 2020, as Hispanic Heritage Month.

Now therefore be it further resolved, the Board of Commissioners in the Township of Nutley, the County of Essex, State of New Jersey, esteems the integral role of Latinos and the manifold heritages of

1	Latino of Latinos in the economy, culture, and
2	identity of the United States, and urges everyone to
3	observe Hispanic Heritage Month with the appropriate
4	programs and activities that celebrate the
5	contributions of the Latinos to the United States. So
6	moved.
7	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
9	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
11	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
12	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
13	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
14	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
15	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
16	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
17	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER KELLY: This is the last one I
19	have for tonight, Resolution Number 226-20. This is
20	celebrating the Navy's 245th birthday.
21	Whereas, in a meeting in Philadelphia on
22	October 13th, 1775, the Second Continental Congress
23	formed the Continental Navy as a means of capturing
24	munitions from the forces of Great Britain;
25	Whereas, on December 22nd, 1775, Commodore

Esek Hopkins was appointed Commander-in-Chief of the Continental Navy, and his position was made equal to that of Commander-in-Chief of the Continental Army;

Whereas, since its inception, the Continental Navy was disbanded in 1785, and was reestablished as an official department on April 30th, 1798, and today the U.S. Navy recognizes the establishment of the Continental Navy as its birthday;

Whereas, the United States Navy and its more than 300,000 sailors command our oceans and protect our seas with over 90 different jobs, day and night, against all enemies;

Whereas, from the Revolution to the Civil War to the World Wars to the present day, the Township of Nutley is thankful and is proud to support the United States Navy. On the occasion of the Navy's 245th birthday, the township remembers those who have sacrificed to serve their nation in the Navy, and thanks and recognizes the Navy veterans who call Nutley home today.

Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners in the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, recognizes and celebrates the United States Navy on its 245th birthday. So moved.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
3	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
7	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
9	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
11	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. Thank you, Commissioner.
12	Commissioner Evans?
13	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor.
14	Be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners
15	of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of
16	New Jersey, that the Treasurer be and she is hereby
17	authorized to refund overpayments of tax charges for
18	Block 8202, Lot 8, in the amount of \$2,160.82. So
19	move.
20	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Second.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
24	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, the Local
7	Capital Budget for the Year 2020 was adopted on the
8	15th day of September, 2020, and it is desired to amend
9	said capital budget. Now therefore be it resolved by
10	the Board of Commissioners of the County of Essex, New
11	Jersey, that with the accounts and items listed within
12	this ordinance, we shall make and approve those
13	adjustments to the capital ordinance without reading
14	all of them.
15	Be it further resolved that two certified
16	copies of this resolution be filed forthwith in the
17	Office of the Director of Local Governmental Services.
18	So move.
19	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
20	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
21	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
22	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
23	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
24	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
25	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

1	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
2	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
3	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
4	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, the Code
6	Enforcement Department received an initial payment from
7	S&S Nutley Corner, LLC, in the amount of \$6,375, based
8	on the initial estimate of the Affordable Housing
9	Development fee for 551 Centre Street by the Tax
10	Assessor;
11	Whereas, the final development fee was
12	calculated by the Tax Assessor to be \$2,815;
13	Whereas, there was an overpayment of \$3,560;
14	Whereas, the zoning official has determined
15	that the fee of \$3,560 be refunded to S&S Nutley
16	Corner, LLC, and funds are available in Trust Account
17	T-26-909-902.
18	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
19	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
20	Essex, State of New Jersey, that the Township Treasurer
21	is authorized to refund the overpayment of Affordable
22	Housing Development Fee to S&S Nutley Corner LLC in the
23	amount of \$3,560. So move.
24	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.

1	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
3	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
5	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
7	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
9	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, New Jersey
11	State Statute 54:4-3.30 provides war veterans who were
12	honorably discharged from active service in the time of
13	war in any branch of the Armed Services of the United
14	States who have been or shall be declared by the United
15	States Veteran's Administration to be 100 percent
16	totally and permanently disabled, connected with their
17	wartime service, a full tax exemption of their dwelling
18	and the lot on which it is situated;
19	Whereas, the following taxpayer has filed an
20	application for allowance of full exemption with proper
21	supporting documentation, thus making the taxpayer
22	eligible.
23	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
24	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
25	Essex, State of New Jersey, that Nicholas A. Campagna,

	03
1	Junior, be granted full exemption from the payment of
2	property taxes from July 1, 2020 and all subsequent
3	years thereafter on property identified as Block 1901,
4	Lot 23, known as 110 Elm Place. So move.
5	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Second.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
7	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
9	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
11	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
12	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
13	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
14	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
15	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. Thank you, Commissioner.
16	Commissioner Petracco?
17	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Yes, Mayor. I have
18	Ordinance Number 208-20. I'm just going to move it as
19	written, just to save a little time. This is an
20	ordinance with the Municipal Alliance. I move as
21	written.
22	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Second.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
24	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

1	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
3	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
5	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
7	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Thank you, Mayor.
9	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner.
10	Commissioner Scarpelli?
11	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Whereas, N.J.S.A.
12	40A:4-87 provides that the Director of the Division of
13	Local Government Service may approve the insertion of
14	any special item in the budget of any county or
15	municipality when such item shall have been made
16	available by law and the amount thereof was not
17	determined at the time of the adoption of the budget;
18	and
19	Whereas, said director may also approve the
20	insertion of any item of appropriation for an equal
21	amount.
22	Section 1: Now therefore be it resolved by
23	the Board of Commissioners, Township of Nutley, County
24	of Essex, State of New Jersey, hereby requests that the
25	Director of the Division of Local Government Services

1	to approve the insertion of an item of revenue in the
2	budget of Year 2020 in the amount of \$48,616.27, which
3	is an item that now is available from the Clean
4	Communities Program Grant, pursuant to the provisions
5	of the statute in Section 2.
6	Be it further resolved that a like sum of
7	\$48,616.27 be and the same is hereby appropriated under
8	the caption of General Appropriations, Clean Community
9	Grant Program.
10	Be it further resolved that the above amount
11	is a result of revenues available from the fiscal year
12	2020 Clean Communities Program Grant; be it further
13	resolved that the Township Clerk forward two certified
14	copies of this resolution to the Director of Local
15	Government Services. I move the resolution.
16	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
18	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
20	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
22	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
24	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?

1	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
2	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: That's all I have,
3	Mayor.
4	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Commissioner.
5	Whereas, sealed bids were received by the Department of
6	Parks and Public Property for the upgrading of DeMuro
7	Park; and
8	Whereas, American Athletic Field and Track
9	and Turf, 2050 Route 206, Vincentown, New Jersey, was
10	the low bidder at \$669,350; and
11	Whereas, the Township has received two bids
12	for upgrade of DeMuro Park located between Margaret and
13	Wilson Street, Margaret Avenue and Wilson Street, the
14	lowest in the amount of \$669,350 from American Athletic
15	Field Track and Turf; and
16	Whereas, the cost of design, permitting, and
17	construction of DeMuro Park will be supported by the
18	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Green
19	Acres Program for the entire project cost.
20	And whereas, the Township of Nutley has
21	allocated the following funds to support the project.
22	We will be receiving it in the form of a Green Acres
23	grant, \$548,370, and a Green Acres loan of \$182
24	\$182,790.
25	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of

1	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
2	Essex, State of New Jersey, that a contract for upgrade
3	DeMuro Park, located between Margaret and Wilson
4	Street, be awarded to American Athletic Field Track and
5	Turf, Vincentown, New Jersey. I move the resolution.
6	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Second.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
8	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
10	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
14	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
16	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
17	Whereas, the Foursum, LLC, holder of an
18	inactive plenary retail consumption license,
19	Number 0716-33-029-009, has submitted an application
20	for renewal of said license for the 2020-2021 license
21	term;
22	Whereas, the State of New Jersey Department
23	of the Treasury Division of Taxation issued a tax
24	clearance certificate for said license for this renewal
25	period; and

1	Whereas, the aforementioned licensee has paid
2	all required fees for the renewal of the license.
3	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
4	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
5	Essex, State of New Jersey, that the renewal of retail
6	consumption license 0716-33-029-009 be granted
7	effective July 1, 2020, through June 30th, 2021.
8	Be it further resolved that the Municipal
9	Clerk be and she is hereby directed and authorized to
10	issue said license for the licensing period of July 1,
11	2020, through June 30, 2021. I move the resolution.
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
14	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
16	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
18	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
20	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
22	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
23	Whereas, a raffle application has been
24	received from the following organization, the Home
25	Schools Association of Washington School, license

	91
1	number 27-20, for an off-premise 50/50 cash raffle on
2	Friday, December 4th.
3	Whereas, the application has been reviewed
4	and approved by the Municipal Clerk and the police
5	department.
6	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
7	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
8	Essex, State of New Jersey, that the aforementioned
9	license is approved, and the Municipal Clerk is
10	authorized to issue said license. I move the
11	resolution.
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
14	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
16	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
18	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
20	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
22	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
23	Be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners
24	of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of
25	New Jersey, that the Treasurer be and she is hereby

1	authorized to refund payments to recreation programs in
2	the amounts listed below. I move the resolution.
3	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
5	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
7	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
9	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
11	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
12	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
13	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
14	Whereas, the Department of Parks and Public
15	Property has a need to acquire specialized professional
16	services for accident and safety review and consulting;
17	and
18	Whereas, the Municipal Clerk is hereby
19	authorized, and she is directed to advertise for a
20	request for qualifications for accident and safety
21	review and consulting.
22	Now therefore be it resolved that sealed
23	requests for qualifications will be received Wednesday,
24	October 28th, 2020, at 11:00 a.m. prevailing time. I
25	move the resolution.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
3	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
7	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
9	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
11	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
12	Whereas, the Department of Parks and Public
13	Property has the need to acquire specialized
14	professional services for a risk manager; and
15	Whereas, the Municipal Clerk is hereby
16	authorized and directed to advertise for a request for
17	qualifications for a consultant risk manager.
18	Now therefore be it resolved that sealed
19	requests for qualifications will be received on
20	Wednesday, October 28th, 2020, at 11:00 a.m. prevailing
21	time. I move the resolution.
22	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
24	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

1	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
3	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
5	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
7	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. This is I'm
8	introducing this resolution on behalf of the entire
9	Board of Commissioners. This is a resolution of the
10	Board of Commissioners authorizing the Planning Board
11	of the Township of Nutley to conduct a preliminary
12	investigation of certain property within the township
13	for potential redevelopment.
14	Whereas, N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-6 of the Local
15	Redevelopment and Housing Law authorizes the governing
16	body of any municipality by resolution to have its
17	planning board conduct a preliminary investigation to
18	determine whether an area of the municipality is a
19	condemnation area in need of redevelopment, pursuant to
20	the criteria contained in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5, the
21	redevelopment law.
22	Whereas, the Board of Commissioners of the
23	Township of Nutley considers it to be in the best
24	interests of the Township of Nutley to have the
25	Township Planning Board conduct such an investigation

of an area commonly known as Diamond Spring Restaurant 1 and Beach Club site, consisting of certain properties 2 located in the township, which properties are 3 identified as Block 7400, Lots 1 and 13, on the 4 official tax map of the Township of Nutley, and which 5 is depicted on the drawing entitled Township of Nutley 6 7 Diamond Springs Restaurant and Beach Club Investigation Area, Block 7400, Lots 1 and 13, prepared by DMR 8 9 Architects attached hereto, or any portion thereof; and Whereas, the Township believes the property 10 11 is potentially valuable for contributing to, serving, and protecting the public health, safety, and welfare, 12 for the promotion of smart growth within the township; 13 and 14 15 Whereas, the preliminary investigation will be designed to evaluate the area to determine whether 16 designation of the property a condemnation area in need 17 18 of redevelopment is appropriate and in conformance with 19 the statutory criteria contained in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5 20 of the redevelopment law; and 21 Whereas, subject to the results of the investigation, the redevelopment area determination, if 22

so made by the Board of Commissioners, shall authorize

the Township to use all those powers under the Local

Redevelopment and Housing Law, including the use of

23

24

25

eminent domain.

Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, that the Planning Board of the Township is hereby directed to conduct a preliminary investigation to determine whether the aforementioned property or any portions thereof constitutes a condemnation area in need of redevelopment according to the criteria set forth in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5, the Redevelopment Law.

2. The Planning Board of the township is hereby directed to study the area known as Block 7400, Lots 1 and 13, on the official tax map of the Township of Nutley, to develop a map reflecting boundaries of such proposed condemnation redevelopment area, to provide public notice and conduct public hearings pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-6 of the Redevelopment Law to draft the report/resolution to the Board of Commissioners containing its findings.

The results of such preliminary investigations shall be submitted to the Board of Commissioners for review and consideration in determining whether to declare the property or any portion thereof a condemnation area in need of redevelopment in accordance with the provision of N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1. I move the resolution.

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
3	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
5	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
7	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
9	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
10	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
11	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
12	This is also a resolution that I'm
13	introducing on behalf of the entire Board of
14	Commissioners. This is a resolution of the Board of
15	Commissioners authorizing the Planning Board of the
16	Township of Nutley to conduct a preliminary
17	investigation of certain property within the Township
18	for potential redevelopment.
19	Whereas, N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-6 of the Local
20	Redevelopment and Housing Law authorizes the governing
21	body of any municipality by resolution to have its
22	planning board conduct a preliminary investigation to
23	determine whether an area of the municipality is a non-
24	condemnation area in need of redevelopment, pursuant to
25	the criteria contained in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5, the

redevelopment law.

Whereas, the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley considers it to be in the best interests of the Township of Nutley to have the Township Planning Board conduct such an investigation on an area generally known as the Ciccolini Site, consisting of certain properties located along Franklin Avenue in the Township, which properties are identified as Block 3100, Lots 28, 29, 30, and 37 on the official tax map of the Township of Nutley;

Whereas, the Township believes the property is potentially valuable for contributing to, serving, and protecting the public health, safety, and welfare, for the promotion of smart growth within the township; excuse me.

Whereas, the preliminary investigation will be designed to evaluate the area to determine whether designation of the property a condemnation area in need of redevelopment is appropriate and in conformance with the statutory criteria contained in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5 of the redevelopment law; and

Whereas, subject to the results of the investigation, the redevelopment area determination, if so made by the Board of Commissioners, shall authorize the Township to use all those powers under the Local

Redevelopment and Housing Law, except that such determination shall not permit the Township to exercise the power of eminent domain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners, Township of Nutley, that

- 1. The Planning Board is hereby directed to conduct a preliminary investigation to determine whether the property or any portions thereof constitute a non-condemnation area in need of redevelopment, according to the criteria set forth in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5 of the Redevelopment Law.
- The Planning Board of the Township is 2. hereby directed to study the area known as Block 3100, Lots 28, 29, 30, and 37 on the official tax map of the Township of Nutley, to develop a map reflecting the boundaries of such proposed non-condemnation redevelopment area to provide a public notice and public hearings pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-6 of the Redevelopment Law, and to draft a report/resolution to The results of such the Board containing its findings. preliminary investigations shall be submitted to the Board for review and consideration in determining whether to declare the property or any portion thereof a non-condemnation area in need of redevelopment, in accordance with the provisions of the Redevelopment

1	Law. I move the resolution.
2	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
3	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly?
4	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
5	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
8	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
10	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci?
12	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
13	This is another resolution on behalf of the
14	entire Board of Commissioners. This is a resolution of
15	the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley
16	directing the Township Clerk to refer and submit a form
17	of the resolutions to the Township Planning Board in
18	accordance with the provisions of the Local
19	Redevelopment and Housing Law.
20	Whereas, the New Jersey Local Redevelopment
21	and Housing Law, N.J.S.A. 40A:21A-1, authorizes the
22	governing body of any municipality by resolution to
23	declare properties within the municipality to be in
24	need of rehabilitation, pursuant to the criteria
25	contained in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-14; and

Whereas, based on its review of the criteria contained in the Redevelopment Law, and information provided by the Township Consulting Engineer/Planner, DMR Architects, the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley believes the property located along Franklin Avenue, West Plaza, and Vreeland Avenue, as shown as Block 3100, Lots 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, and 43, on the official tax map of the Township of Nutley should be declared an area in need of rehabilitation in accordance with N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-14; and

Whereas, the Board of Commissioners desires to refer and submit the attached form of resolution, entitled, A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NUTLEY DECLARING THE PROPERTY

IDENTIFIED AS BLOCK 3100, the lots I just mentioned, ON THE OFFICIAL TAX MAP OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NUTLEY AS AN AREA IN NEED OF REHABILITATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE LOCAL REDEVELOPMENT HOUSING LAW declaring the property as an area in need of rehabilitation to the Planning Board of the Township of Nutley, in accordance with the provisions of N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-14, and to direct the Planning Board to review such proposed resolution and submit its recommendations to the Board of Commissioners.

1	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
2	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley that the Board
3	of Commissioners hereby authorize and direct the
4	Township Clerk to refer and submit the attached form of
5	resolution referenced herein to the Township Planning
6	Board in accordance with the provisions of N.J.S.A.
7	40A:12-A, and direct the Planning Board to review such
8	proposed resolution together with any reports and/or
9	testimony provided by DMR, and submit its
10	recommendations to the Board of Commissioners, which
11	resolution if adopted would authorize the Township to
12	use all those powers provided by the New Jersey
13	Legislature under the Redevelopment Law except that
14	such determination shall not permit the Township to
15	exercise the power of eminent domain.
16	Be it further resolved that a copy of this
17	resolution shall be published as required by law.
18	Do I need to read the form portion? I move
19	the resolution.
20	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
24	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
6	This is another resolution on behalf of the
7	entire Board of Commissioners. Resolution of the
8	Township Commissioners of the Township of Nutley,
9	authorizing the Township to consent to the partial
10	assignment and assumption of a redevelopment agreement
11	relating to the transfer of 111 Ideation Way, located
12	within Phase 2A of the Hoffman/LaRoche Redevelopment
13	Area. I move the resolution as written.
14	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
16	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
18	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
20	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
22	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
24	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
25	Whereas, the United States of America, the

State of New Jersey, the County, and the Municipality have become victims of Covid-19 virus and the pandemic; and

Whereas, the Coronavirus has caused economic damage and hardships to states, counties, and municipalities throughout the United States of America; and

Whereas, on March 27, 2020, the Coronavirus

Aid Relief and Economic Security Act was signed by

President Donald J. Trump; and

Whereas, the CARES Act was enacted among other purposes in order to combat the economic damage caused to states, counties, and municipalities because of the coronavirus; and

Whereas, the County has received CARES Act funds from the United States Treasury to be used to reimburse the county and municipalities and agencies within the county, including the Township of Nutley, due to economic damage caused to them by the coronavirus; and

Whereas, as the recipient of the stimulus funds, it will be the responsibility of the county to disburse the stimulus funds to eligible recipients in accordance with the terms and provision of the CARES Act, and any guidelines or regulations issued by the

1	United States Government or any of its agencies and/or
2	departments.
3	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
4	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
5	Essex, State of New Jersey, that the Mayor is hereby
6	authorized to execute the attached reimbursement
7	agreement between the County of Essex and the Township
8	of Nutley. I move the resolution.
9	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly?
11	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Just for clarification,
12	where's the attached agreement? I didn't see it in my
13	packet.
14	THE CLERK: We have it.
15	COMMISSIONER KELLY: We have it?
16	THE CLERK: Absolutely. (Indiscernible) the
17	Mayor has it? The mayor has it.
18	COMMISSIONER KELLY: I would like to see it.
19	THE CLERK: Sure.
20	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Okay. I'm going to
21	abstain.
22	THE CLERK: Okay. I'm sorry. This was
23	seconded by did you second?
24	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I seconded, yeah.
25	THE CLERK: Thank you. Commissioner Evans?

1	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
6	Whereas, an application has been filed by
7	Sonoma Bar and Grill, LLC, for a Person-to-Person
8	transfer of plenary retail consumption license number
9	0716-33-011-007, for an inactive license with a mailing
10	address of 59 Park Avenue, Rutherford, New Jersey,
11	issued to Huddle Sports Bar and Grill, LLC; and
12	Whereas, the submitted application form is
13	complete in all respects, the transfer fees have been
14	paid, and the license has been properly renewed for the
15	current license term; and
16	Whereas, the applicant is qualified to be
17	licensed according to all standards established by
18	Title 33 of New Jersey Statutes promulgated thereunder,
19	as well as pertinent local ordinances and conditions
20	consistent with Title 33; and
21	Whereas, the applicant has disclosed and the
22	issuing authority reviewed the source of all funds used
23	in the purchase of the license, and the licensed
24	business and all additional financing obtained in
25	connection with the licensed businesses.

1	Now therefore be it resolved that the Board
2	of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley does hereby
3	approve, effective October 6th, 2020, the transfer of
4	the aforesaid plenary retail consumption license to
5	Sonoma Bar and Grill, and does hereby direct the
6	Municipal Clerk to endorse the license certificate to
7	the new ownership as follows:
8	This license subject to all terms and
9	conditions is hereby transferred to Sonoma Bar and
10	Grill, LLC, effective October 6th, 2020. I move the
11	resolution.
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly?
14	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
16	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
18	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
20	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
21	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
22	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
23	Whereas, the Department of Parks and Public
24	Property has determined that there is a need for a
25	handicapped accessible ramp for Glotzbach Park Softball

	108
1	Field; and
2	Whereas, building the handicapped accessible
3	ramp would serve a greater number of Nutley residents;
4	and
5	Whereas, it has been determined that a
6	handicapped accessible ramp would lead to easier access
7	for our handicapped population.
8	Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of
9	Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of
10	Essex, State of New Jersey, that the Township Clerk be,
11	and she is hereby authorized to advertise for sealed
12	bids for a handicapped accessible ramp at Glotzbach
13	Park Softball Field. Specifications will be available
14	on October 15th, 2020, in the Purchasing Department,
15	Township Hall, 1 Kennedy Drive, Nutley, New Jersey.
16	Bids to be received by Wednesday, October 28th, 2020,
17	at 11:00 a.m. prevailing time, at which time they will
18	be publicly opened and read via Zoom. I move the
19	resolution.
20	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
21	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
24	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

1	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli?
3	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
4	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
5	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
6	Whereas, Italian Americans have contributed
7	to the United States in all aspects of life, including
8	art, science, civil service, military service,
9	athletics, and education; and
10	Whereas, Italian Americans make up one of the
11	largest ethnic groups in the United States;
12	Whereas, in recognition of the
13	accomplishments of Christopher Columbus, recognized as
14	one of the greatest explorers in world history, a
15	national observance day was established in October of
16	every year; and
17	Whereas, during Italian American Heritage and
18	Culture Month, we recognize the rich heritage of
19	Americans of Italian descent, and celebrate their
20	immeasurable contributions to our nation; and
21	Whereas, the Italian American community has
22	contributed so much to the rich cultural and historical
23	tapestry in New Jersey; and
24	Whereas, the phrase in the Declaration of
25	Independence, "all men are created equal," was

suggested by the Italian patriot and immigrant, Philip Mazzei; and

Whereas, through the years, Americans have followed in the spirit of Christopher Columbus, through exploration of land, sea, and space; and

Whereas, upon arrival in the United States, the Italian American community faced racial, social, and religious discrimination, yet, Italian Americans have persevered with hope and hard work to reach for the American dream, and help build our great country and this community; and

Whereas, Italian Americans operate thriving businesses, teach our children, serve at all levels of government, and succeed in many occupations, drawing on the courage and principles of their forebears, and lead in every facet of American life, dedicating their knowledge and skills to the growth of our country.

Now therefore be it resolved that the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, join with the citizens of Nutley to observe the month of October as Italian American Heritage and Culture Month, and respect all that Italian Americans have contributed toward the growth and development of the Township of Nutley, State of New Jersey, and the United States of America. I

1	move the resolution.
2	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Second.
3	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
4	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
5	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans.
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco.
8	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli.
10	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
12	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye.
13	That concludes all of our official business
14	for this evening. We will now open the meeting up to
15	the public.
16	THE CLERK: Would you like me to read the
17	statement again, Mayor?
18	MAYOR TUCCI: Please.
19	THE CLERK: Great. All persons addressing
20	the Board of Commissioners regarding community concerns
21	should state their name and address for the record.
22	Unless further time is granted by the board, each
23	person shall limit their address to five minutes. All
24	remarks to the Board and its individual members must be
25	addressed to the Mayor.

1 MR. MOORE: Rory Moore, 462 Chestnut Street. Mayor Tucci, I just have two quick questions, but I do 2 3 want to make a comment. This evening, all your witnesses addressed the Board of Commissioners, but not 4 5 once did they ever address the citizens, and I think that when the citizens come out to listen to what's 6 7 going on, I think they deserve some recognition as 8 well. 9 That being said, I have requested through an OPRA for the bill list for September. 10 Twice I've received a response that you couldn't open up. 11 I don't think we need to go through mediation. 12 I remember we had this issue once before, and Kevin Kirk -- or Jason 13 Kirk did something to resolve the issue, and is that 14 15 possible? Could we get that done? MAYOR TUCCI: Mr. Moore, the bill list is in 16 17 fact on the agenda, and --18 MR. MOORE: I see that, but I asked for it under an OPRA, I asked for clarifications, I wanted to 19 20 see some of the bills. And it's been done before, done 21 that many times. 22 MAYOR TUCCI: Madam Clerk, would you like to 23 respond, please? 24 THE CLERK: We provided that document Yes. 25 to you. On our end, you're able to open it. I mean,

1	we can't control how you open it on your end, so I'm
2	not understanding what the issue is. We have provided
3	it
4	MR. MOORE: I can't open it on my end. The
5	Board Nutley Board of Education just sent me two
6	very large CAD files, opens right up. They put it into
7	Google.
8	THE CLERK: That has nothing to do with the
9	Clerk's Office. That has nothing to do with the
10	Clerk's Office. I'm sorry, Mr. Moore.
11	MR. MOORE: Okay, I'll take care of it. And
12	I'm still waiting for definitions for the Internal
13	Committee. I haven't received that, and those are
14	definitions. GRC said that they should be readily
15	available.
16	THE CLERK: Do you want me to respond?
17	MAYOR TUCCI: Please respond, Madam Clerk.
18	THE CLERK: Mr. Moore, definitions is not a
19	document. We already responded to that OPRA request.
20	MR. MOORE: Well, I never received the
21	response.
22	THE CLERK: You need to request a document.
23	MR. MOORE: I will do that. Thank you.
24	MAYOR TUCCI: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
25	THE CLERK: That's it, Mayor.

1	MAYOR TUCCI: Okay. Seeing no one else that
2	wants to make any public comments, I'll entertain a
3	motion for adjournment.
4	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Move it.
5	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Second.
6	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.
7	THE CLERK: Commissioner Kelly.
8	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner Evans.
10	COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
12	COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Scarpelli.
14	COMMISSIONER SCARPELLI: Aye.
15	THE CLERK: Mayor Tucci.
16	MAYOR TUCCI: Aye. Thank you, everyone, good
17	night.
18	THE CLERK: The time is 10:41.
19	
20	
21	(End of recording)
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATION I, Alicia Jarrett, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording provided for transcription and prepared to the best of my professional skills and ability. October 22, 2020 Alicia Jarrett AAERT Cert. No. 428 Certified Court Transcriptionist